Appl. No. 10/643,564

Amdt. dated August 14, 2008

Reply to Office Action of July 10, 2008

AFTER FINAL - EXPEDITED PROCEDURE

REMARKS

Claim 1 has been amended to incorporate the allowable subject matter of Claim 10. Accordingly, Claim 10 has been canceled without prejudice and Claim 11 amended to depend from Claim 1. Further, Claims 18-19 have been amended to depend from Claim 1.

Claim 26 has been amended similar to Claim 1.
Claims 8-9, 15-17 have been canceled without prejudice.

As to 37 C.F.R. 1.116.

All claim amendments herein are directed towards matters of form and place the Application in a condition for allowance. Accordingly, the Amendment is entitled to entry pursuant to 37 C.F.R. 1.116.

Claims 1, 3, 5-7, 26-28 are patentable over Tarquini et al. (2003/0101353) and further in view of Pak et al. (7,080,408).

As set forth above, Claim 1 has been amended to incorporate the allowable subject matter of Claim 10.

Accordingly, Claim 1 is allowable. Claims 3, 5-7, which depend from Claim 1, are allowable for at least the same reasons as Claim 1.

Claim 26 has been amended similarly to Claim 1 and is thus allowable for reasons similar to Claim 1. Claims 27-28, which depend from Claim 26, are allowable for at least the same reasons as Claim 26.

For the above reasons, Applicants respectfully request reconsideration and withdrawal of this rejection.

Claims 18-19 are patentable over Chesla et al. (2004/0250124) in view of Hoepers et al., "Honeynets Applied to the CSIRT Scenario."

As set forth above, Claim 1 is allowable. Claims 18-19, which depend from Claim 1, are allowable for at least the same reasons as Claim 1.

GUNNISON, McKAY & HODGSON, L.L.P. Garden West Office Plaza 1900 Garden Road, Suite 220 Monterey, CA 93940 (831) 655-0880 Fax (831) 655-0888 Appl. No. 10/643,564

Amdt. dated August 14, 2008

Reply to Office Action of July 10, 2008

AFTER FINAL - EXPEDITED PROCEDURE

For the above reasons, Applicants respectfully request reconsideration and withdrawal of this rejection.

Allowable Subject Matter.

As set forth above, Claims 1, 3, 5-7, 18-19, 26-28 are allowable.

Claim 11, which depends from allowable Claim 1, is allowable for at least the same reasons as Claim 1. For at least this reason, Applicants respectfully request reconsideration and withdrawal of the objection to Claim 11.

Claims 20-25 have been allowed by the Examiner.

Accordingly, Claims 1, 3, 5-7, 11, 18-19, 20-28, i.e., all pending claims, are allowable.

Conclusion.

Claims 1, 3, 5-7, 11, 18-19, 20-28 are pending in the application. For the foregoing reasons, Applicants respectfully request allowance of all pending claims. If the Examiner has any questions relating to the above, the Examiner is respectfully requested to telephone the undersigned Attorney for Applicants.

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 2#313-1450, on August 14, 2008.

Attorney for Applicants

August 14, 2008
Date of Signature

Respectfully submitted,

Serge J. Hodgson

Attorney for Applicants

Reg. No. 40,017

Tel.: (831) 655-0880

GUNNISON, McKAY & HODGSON, L.L.P. Garden West Office Plaza 1900 Garden Road, Suite 220 Monterey, CA 93940 (831) 655-0880 Fax (831) 655-0888